## Sixth Appellate District

San Jose, California

## MONDAY, JULY 24, 2000

H019422 PEOPLE v. PETERSON

The judgment is affirmed. (not published) (Wunderlich, J.; We concur: Premo, Acting P.J., Bamattre-Manoukian, J.) Filed July 24, 2000

H018333 LUDGATE v LOCKHEED

By the Court:

The written opinion which was filed on June 28, 2000 is certified for publication. (Premo, Acting P.J.; Bamattre-Manoukian, J., Wunderlich, J.)

The written opinion which was filed on June 28, 2000 has now been certified for publication pursuant to rule 976(b) of the California Rules of Court, and it is therefore ordered that it be published in the Official Reports.

Dated: July 24, 2000 Premo, Acting P.J.

#### HO18709 PEOPLE v. GONZALEZ

The judgment is modified to strike the restitution fines under section 1202.4(b) and 1202.45. In all other respect, the judgment is affirmed. (not published) (Elia, J.; We concur: Cottle, P.J., Mihara, J.) Filed July 24, 2000

H020582 SANTA CLARA COUNTY DEPARTMENT OF FAMILY & CHILDREN'S SERVICES v. RUBY Y.

The orders appealed from are affirmed. (not published) (Elia, J.; We concur: Cottle, P.J., Mihara, J.) Filed July 24, 2000

140

San Jose, California

#### TUESDAY, JULY 25, 2000

H016490 PEOPLE v LITTLE, et al.

By the Court\*:

Appellant, Antoine Little's petition for rehearing is denied.

Filed: July 25, 2000

\*Before Elia, J., Cottle, P.J. and Premo, J.

H019693 PEOPLE v. RANGER INSURANCE, CO., et al.

The order under review is affirmed. The People are awarded their costs on appeal. (not published)  $\,$ 

(Elia, J.; We concur: Cottle, P.J., Mihara, J.)

Filed July 25, 2000

#### WEDNESDAY, JULY 26, 2000

(no minute approved orders)

# THURSDAY, JULY 27, 2000

H019981 EXECUTIVE INVESTORS v. SUPERIOR COURT (FENG, et al.)

Let a peremptory writ of mandate issue directing respondent court to vacate its order of April 16, 1999, denying plaintiff's motion for protective order and granting defendants' motion to compel and awarding monetary sanctions, and to enter a new and different order granting plaintiff's motion for protective order and denying defendants' motion to compel and request for monetary sanctions. Costs in this original proceeding are awarded to

petitioner. (not published)
(Elia, J.; We concur: Cottle, P.J., Mihara, J.)
Filed July 27, 2000

H017531 BEHR, et al. v. PACIFIC GAS & ELECTRIC COMPANY By the Court\*:

Appellant Pacific Gas and Electric's petition for rehearing is denied.

Filed: July 27, 2000

\*Before Bamattre-Manoukian, Acting P.J., and Mihara, J.

# Sixth Appellate District

San Jose, California

## FRIDAY, JULY 28, 2000

H019458 PEOPLE v. DOVOLIS

The judgment is affirmed. (not published) (Mihara, J.; We concur: Cottle, P.J., Elia, J.) Filed July 28, 2000

H019947 WEINGARTEN v. SUTTLE, et al.

The judgment of dismissal is affirmed. Costs on appeal to respondents. (not published)

(Wunderlich, J.; We concur: Premo, Acting P.J., Bamattre-Manoukian, J.)

Filed July 28, 2000

H019850 PEOPLE v. TRAHAN

The judgment is affirmed. (not published) (Bamattre-Manoukian, J.; We concur: Premo, Acting P.J., Wunderlich, J.) Filed July 28, 2000

H018961 PEOPLE v. MARTIN

The judgment is affirmed. (not published) (Bamattre-Manoukian, J.; We concur: Premo, Acting P.J., Wunderlich, J.) Filed July 28, 2000

H017899 BERNASCONI, et al. v. ERA FRANCHISE SYSTEMS, INC.; ERA REAL ESTATE CENTER OF SANTA CRUZ, INC.

The judgment is reversed and the matter remanded for a new trial on the issue of ostensible agency. On plaintiff's appeal, each side shall bear its own costs. On REC's appeal, plaintiffs shall be awarded their costs. (not published)

(Wunderlich, J.; We concur: Premo, Acting P.J., Bamattre-Manoukian, J.)

Filed July 28, 2000

# In The Court Of Appeal Of The State Of California 142 Sixth Appellate District San Jose, California

## Friday, July 28, 2000 (Continued)

H017396 EAST BAY CAR WASH PARTNERS v. BRASSFIELD

The appeal from the summary judgment is treated as a petition for an extraordinary writ, and the petition is denied. This matter is remanded to the trial court with instructions to dismiss, as moot, the first, second, and fifth causes of action of Brassfield's second amended cross-complaint. Respondent Brassfield shall have costs on appeal. (not published)
(Wunderlich, J.; We concur: Bamattre-Manoukian, Acting P.J., Mihara, J.)
Filed July 28, 2000